# **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

# **Double Patenting**

The Examiner states that should claim 1 be found allowable, claims 7 and 45 would be objected to as being a substantial duplicate thereof. Accordingly, the applicant has consolidated claims 1, 7 and 45 into a new claim 1. Claims 7-12 and 45-50 have been canceled.

The Examiner states that should claim 13 be found allowable, claim 19 would be objected to as being a substantial duplicate thereof. Accordingly, the applicant has consolidated claims 13 and 19 into a new claim 13. Claims 19-24 have been canceled.

### Claim Objections

The defect identified by the Examiner in claim 25 has been corrected by replacing "a display" by "the display."

### Claim Rejections—35 USC §112

Claims 13-24 and 51-56 were rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Favorable reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

The applicant has amended claim 13 to change "a display" to "the display."

Claim 13 now recites a mounting component for a support member that couples to a second mounting component on the display. Claims 14-18 depend from claim 13, which

has now been amended as described above to overcome the 35 USC §112 objection.

Claims 19-24 have been canceled.

Tha applicant has also amended claim 51 to change "a display" to "the display." Claim 51 now recites a mounting component for a support member that couples to a second mounting component on the display. Claims 52-56 depend from claim 51, which has now been amended as described above to overcome the 35 USC §112 objection.

# Claim Rejections-35 USC §102

Claims 1-4, 7-10 and 45-49

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4, 7-10 and 45-49 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunert. Favorable reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

The Examiner identifies the first engaging member of the preceding claims with element 48 of Kunert, and states that Kunert discloses "...a second mounting component 10 ...including a second engaging member 34 having a shape complementary to the first engaging member..." The applicant respectfully disagrees. Element 48 is a "...round cross sectional member..." (col. 4, line 37-38). Element 34 is "...a frontal opening 34 to allow access by the user to the keyboard and display of the data terminal, or to allow docking of a data terminal having a pistol grip." (col. 3, lines 62-63) As is clearly shown in Figure 1A, the frontal opening 34 does not have a shape that is complementary to the round cross sectional member 48. For example, the round cross sectional member 48 is

substantially cylindrical, whereas the frontal opening 34 is not. The applicant therefore requests that the rejection of claim 1 be withdrawn.

Claims 2-4, which depend from claim 1, should be allowable for the same reasons provided above for claim 1. Claims 7-10 and 45-49 have been canceled.

# Claims 13-16, 19-22 and 51-54

The Examiner has rejected claims 13-16, 19-22 and 51-54 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunert. Favorable reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

The Examiner identifies the first engaging member of the preceding claims with element 48 of Kunert, and states that Kunert discloses "...a second mounting component 28,40...including a second engaging member 34 having a shape complementary to the first engaging member..." The applicant respectfully disagrees. Element 48 is a "...round cross sectional member..." (col. 4, line 37-38). Element 34 is "...a frontal opening 34 to allow access by the user to the keyboard and display of the data terminal, or to allow docking of a data terminal having a pistol grip." (col. 3, lines 62-63) As is clearly shown in Figure 1A, the frontal opening 34 does not have a shape that is complementary to the round cross sectional member 48. The applicant therefore requests that the rejection of claims 13 and 51 be withdrawn.

Claims 14-16, which depend from claim 13, and claims 52-54, which depend from claim 51, should be allowable for the same reasons provided above for claims 13 and 51. Claims 19-22 have been canceled.

#### Claims 25-29 and 31-35

The Examiner has rejected claims 25-29 and 31-35 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunert. Favorable reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

The Examiner identifies the first engaging member of the preceding claims with element 48 of Kunert, and states that Kunert discloses a "...second mounting component including a second engaging member 34 having a shape complementary to the first engaging member..." The applicant respectfully disagrees. Element 48 is a "...round cross sectional member..." (col. 4, line 37-38). Element 34 is "...a frontal opening 34 to allow access by the user to the keyboard and display of the data terminal, or to allow docking of a data terminal having a pistol grip." (col. 3, lines 62-63) As is clearly shown in Figure 1A, the frontal opening 34 does not have a shape that is complementary to the round cross sectional member 48. The applicant therefore requests that the rejection of claims 25 and 31 be withdrawn.

Claims 26-29, which depend from claim 25, and claims 32-35, which depend from claim 31, should be allowable for the same reasons provided above for claims 25 and 31.

For the aforementioned reasons, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry Moscovitch